UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,700	12/11/2003	Balaji S. Thenthiruperai	2493 8862	
28005 SPRINT	7590 11/26/200	8	EXAMINER	
6391 SPRINT F KSOPHT0101-			IQBAL, KHAWAR	
	Z2100 PARK, KS 66251-2100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/734,700	THENTHIRUPERAI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

P	KHAWAR IQBAL	2617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on th application, applicant must timely file one of the following re application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	plies: (1) an amendment, affidavit I (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later th may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount of ortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the properties of the appeal.	ideration and/or search (see NOT ); r form for appeal by materially red	E below); lucing or simplifying th					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	 wable if submitted in a separate, ti	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provid The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11,13-25,29 and 30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		be entered and an ex	planation of				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and swas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after en	try is below or attache	ed.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but described See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	loes NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:				
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (P<sup>2</sup></li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	TO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/George Eng/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claim 1, the applicant argues in page 4, However, this argument is not relevant since the applicant did not claim the limitation.

The Applicant further argues in pages 4-5 that there is no suggestion or motivation to combine Barclay and Hussain. Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument for the following reasons:

Barclay suggests that a customer makes an emergency call to an emergency personnel (see para. 19). It clearly means that this call can be a voice call. Whereas, Hussain teaches that a voice call (see para. 0141). Thus the combination of Barclay and Hussain provides reason for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a voice call in Barclay's invention such that the customer's device can only originate a voice call as claimed. The modification enables the device to initiate only a voice call more efficiently after detecting an call initiating request.

Thus the rejection of the claims in view of Barclay and Hussain remain.